



British Universities Life Saving Clubs' Association

Committee Meeting Agenda

Date: Wednesday 22nd November 2017 **Time:** 19:30 **Venue:** Skype

Invited: Michael Kirkham, Jared Wray, Stephanie Panagi, Josh Hale, Luke Peel, Holly Willing, Helen Morris

Purpose: BULSCA committee meeting to discuss proposals for the 2017 GM.

Agenda

No.	Item	Details / Outcome	Name	Time
8.0	Welcome, attendance, apologies, conflicts of interest	Record data.	MK	2 mins
8.1	Proposal 1: Club Recruitment Officer	Discuss proposal.	ALL	15 mins
8.2	Proposal 2: Competition Profits	Discuss proposal.	ALL	15 mins
8.3	Proposal 3: Casualty Recommendations	Discuss not accepted proposal.	ALL	15 mins
8.4	Any other business	Review items not already discussed.	ALL	10 mins
8.5	Date of next meeting	Define next BULSCA meeting dates, venue and invitees.	Committee	2 mins

Minutes

Date: Wednesday 22nd November 2017 **Start time:** 19:30

Present: Michael Kirkham, Jared Wray, Josh Hale, Luke Peel, Holly Willing, Helen Morris

Apologies: Stephanie Panagi

Agenda item 8.0: Welcome, attendance, apologies, conflicts of interest

Presenter: MK

Discussion: MK: Welcomes committee to meeting for GM proposal discussion. LP in car but listening.
JW: Apologies from SP. Potentially conflict of interest from proposers, although proposed by BULSCA committee.

Conclusion: Committee welcomed to 8th committee meeting to discuss proposals for GM. Apologies from SP.

Agenda item 8.1: Proposal 1: Club Recruitment Officer

Presenter: ALL

Discussion: JH: Presented proposal. Written duties out and hopefully what people expected.
MK: Looks good.
JW: Mentions on the proposal to write it into constitution, is this meant to be a formal role or trial role?
JH: Can be trial for three years, discuss at 2019 GM.
JW: Needs references to the competition to be added.
JH: Doing that now.
MK: Discussion around whether it should be in the constitution or not.
JW: Should be in the constitution to ensure it has the same level of authority as everyone else and regularly brought up with the committee and standards to be held to.

	LP: Shouldn't be written as a trial role in the constitution as it is a formal document. Should be minuted for review.
Conclusion:	Committee recommendation: Accept with discussion.

Agenda item 8.2: Proposal 2: Competition Profits

Presenter: ALL

Discussion:	<p>JH: Should put as last point at GM as could go on. Wants amendment that clubs cannot make a profit and profits go to Championships. MK: Amendments can only be added at GM. HW: Worry that not many people will be able to understand it as it is quite complicated. JH: Only one person per club really needs to understand. JW: Not sure we can make clubs give money back (as per Warwick mentioned last year) and the proposal is asking for lots of information to be given up by clubs. The competition pack will have financing information in it so in theory they should be following that so there isn't any room for reduction in profit if clubs are telling the truth. JH: Clubs may be making lots more than they say. Clubs should be able to issue refunds. MK: If they have said they cannot then that is the fact we should take on board. JW: Believes that we should reject with discussion. Committee vote by a majority of 6 to 1 to reject with discussion.</p>
Conclusion:	Committee recommendation: Reject with discussion.

Agenda item 8.3: Proposal 3: Casualty Recommendations

Presenter: ALL

Discussion:	<p>JW: Proposal was received an hour before the deadline. It was incomplete and did not have a seconder so decided to not accept the proposal and advise that the proposal is amended and resubmitted. Should discuss however as may be submitted after this meeting and want to be able to have a decision from the committee to add if necessary. HW: Proposal suggests picking some elements from professional qualifications. There is an issue with access to resources. JH: Not all clubs have the ability to have someone qualified to deliver training in these areas. JW: Think that the casualty types within Survive and Save are not diverse enough, and could see a modification around the first aid in the NPLQ being incorporated to make SERCs more difficult as the casualties in a SERC could be fairly similar competition after competition. Instructors may not have a qualification anyway to teach Survive and Save content. MK: Rule could be expanded to include the NPLQ, however not described in proposal. JW: Clubs voted on simplifying casualty selection down to Survive and Save last academic year so not sure what clubs feel, but have spoken to teams who want more casualties in SERCs. Issues also revolved around judges not being lifeguards so may not know what treatments are expected. JH: Clubs need Survive and Save instructors as Survive and Save is a required qualification for Championships. MK: Competition manual says that SERCs should be achievable and allow judges to differentiate between different teams, so if people find it to simple then this should be looked at. HW: Not 9s or 10s in everything so room for improvement. JW: May be worth seeing if the proposal could be submitted at the end of the competition year so we have a proper idea of any issues, but will review proposal if resubmitted after deadline for its own merit. MK: If sent back in then we will reject with discussion.</p>
Conclusion:	Proposal not accepted.

Agenda item 8.4: Any other business

Presenter: ALL

Discussion:	JH: Potentially look at adding more challenging first aid to Survive and Save. JW: May happen with Lifesaving Awards review and definitely stay involved in what is happening with that, but our rules can be amended if it becomes problematic. MK: Apologies for the GM from HW, LP and HM.
Conclusion:	Apologies for GM from HW, LP and HM.

Agenda item 8.5: Date of next meeting

Presenter: Committee

Discussion: MK: Next meeting is GM on Saturday 2nd December 2017.

Conclusion: Next meeting is GM on Saturday 2nd December 2017.